Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,248	ROWLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2444	
All Participants:	icipants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWANCE</u>		
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) <u>Nidhi Chotani. Atty</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 August 2009</u>	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  Double Patenting Obviousness			
Claims discussed: 27-46			
Prior art documents discussed: Rowley et al (USPN 6,941,105)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner contacted Atty to recommend filing a Terminal Disclaimer to remedy the potential Double Patenting Obvious-Type rejection applicable over the Rowley et al reference with the pending claims. Atty agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer for the application.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Kristie D. Shingles/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	